UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

ADT LLC D/B/A ADT SECURITY SERVICES)
and INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, LOCAL UNION 43.) Cases 03-CA-184936) 03-CA-192545)
	'ADT'S EXCEPTIONS TO 'E LAW JUDGE'S DECISION
Pursuant to Section 102.46 of	the National Labor Relations Board's Rules and
Regulations, Respondent ADT, LLC d/b	/a ADT Security Services, Inc. (the "Respondent" or
"ADT") respectfully files the following	exceptions and supporting brief to the Decision of
Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Micha	el A. Rosas, dated August 4, 2017.1
	I.
Respondent takes exception to the	ALJ's failure to find that Respondent implemented a
temporary six-day workweek based on its	s reasonable interpretation of the Albany and Syracuse
labor agreements. (ALJD 8:9–9:18).	
	II.
Respondent takes exception to the	ALJ's finding that the temporary change to a six-day
workweek was a "material, substantial, ar	nd a significant' one affecting the terms and conditions
of employment of bargaining unit employe	ees." (ALJD 8:27–9:18).
of proceedings are designated as (Tr	signated as (ALJD). References to the transcrip). References to the General Counsel Exhibits are s to Union Exhibits are designated as (U. Ex) d as (Jt. Ex).

Respondent takes exception to the ALJ's finding that Respondent engaged in direct dealing with employees regarding mandatory terms and conditions of employment. (ALJD 9-0).

IV.

Respondent takes exception to the ALJ's finding that "[b]y granting a unit employee member an exception that could plausibly be interpreted as favorable treatment, Respondent effectively undermined confidence in the Union by the bargaining group." (ALJD 9:46–10:17).

V.

Respondent takes exception to the ALJ's finding that Respondent's delay in providing relevant information was prejudicial and hampered the Union's ability to enforce the contract. (ALJD 11:11–28).

VI.

Respondent takes exception to the ALJ's finding that Respondent delayed in providing information to the Union necessary and relevant to its role as the employee's bargaining representative. (ALJD 12).

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated herein and in Respondent's supporting brief filed contemporaneously herewith, Respondent ADT, LLC d/b/a ADT Security Services, Inc. submits that the decision and recommended Order of the Administrative Law Judge should be reversed by the Board and the Complaint against Respondent should be dismissed in its entirety.

DATED this 15th day of September, 2017.

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

By:

Jeremy Moritz, Lsq. Norma Manjarrez, Esq.

155 North Wacker Drive - Suite 4300

Chicago, IL 60606

Phone: (312) 558-1220 Facsimile: (312) 807-3619

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on September 15, 2017, a copy of the foregoing *RESPONDENT ADT'S EXCEPTIONS TO ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S DECISION* was Electronically Filed as a .pdf document via the NLRB's e-filing system and transmitted via e-mail to the following parties:

Alicia E. Pender, Esq. Counsel for General Counsel NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD Region 3 – Albany Resident Office 11A Clinton Square – Room 342 Albany, NY 12207

Bryan T. Arnault, Esq. BLITMAN & KING LLP Franklin Center – Suite 300 443 North Franklin Street Syracuse, NY 13204-5412

One of the attorneys for Respondent

007116-000288 31205899.1